

Debtors' Twenty-Sixth, Twenty-Seventh and Twenty-Eighth Omnibus Objections to Certain Tier I Proofs of Claim (the "Claims Objections").

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors seek entry of orders disallowing and expunging or modifying certain claims which were either (i) filed against the wrong Debtor entity, (ii) amended and superseded, (iii) duplicative, (iv) filed without asserting a liability against the Debtors, (v) asserted as equity interests, (vi) paid in full, (vii) late-filed, (viii) improperly classified, or (ix) lacking sufficient information (collectively, the "Disputed Claims").

By order dated August 21, 2009, the Court established October 30, 2009 (the "Bar Date") as the deadline to assert a claim against any of the Debtors. On January 20, 2010, the Court entered an order approving the Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order Establishing Procedures for Objections to Claims (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"). Pursuant to the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors may file omnibus objections to claims.

Claims Containing Insufficient Information

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to the allowance of certain of the Disputed Claims for failure to provide sufficient information to support a finding that the Debtors are liable to the claimant. Specifically, in the Debtors' Twenty-Eighth Omnibus Objection, the Debtors assert that the law office of Morris, Sakalarios & Blackwell, PLLC ("MSB") filed one claim on behalf of 809 individuals for \$1,000-\$10,000 per individual alleging liability in connection with exposure to asbestos, silica and/or manganese (the "MSB Claim"). The Debtors allege that MSB failed to comply with Bankruptcy Rule 2019, which requires that every creditor or committee that purports to represent more than one creditor file a verified statement containing certain information related to the creditors represented. Moreover, the MSB Claim provides no information as to when and how the individual claimants were injured. Lastly, the Debtors contend that the MSB Claim is subject to a settlement agreement dated December 8, 2003 (the "Settlement Agreement"). The Debtors believe that approximately 293 of the 809 individual claimants represented by the MSB Claim have already been paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. With respect to the remaining 516 individual claimants, the Settlement Agreement requires each individual claimant to furnish specific documentation before receiving payment on account of its individual claim, and the MSB Claim does not include this required information. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge such claims from the Debtors' claims register.

Non-Debtor Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to certain of the Disputed Claims that were asserted against the Debtors but are obligations of a non-Debtor entity. The Debtors request that such claims be disallowed and expunged from the Debtors' claims register.

Wrong Debtor Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to certain Disputed Claims that were asserted against the wrong Debtor for liabilities that are obligations of a different Debtor. The Debtors request relief to expunge and disallow such claims because each claimant has already filed an identical claim against the proper Debtor, and reclassifying such claims would result in duplicate proofs of claim.

Duplicative Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors seek to expunge certain claims that are duplicative of other proofs of claim previously filed in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases. The Debtors reserve their rights, as well as the rights of any other parties in interest, to object to the surviving claims in the future. The Debtors request that such claims be expunged from the Debtors' claims register to ensure that the claimants do not receive a double recovery.

Late-Filed and Paid-in-Full Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to (i) certain claims filed after the Bar Date, and (ii) certain claims which, according to the Debtors, have already been paid in full or were previously satisfied. The Debtors request that such claims be expunged from the Debtors' claims register.

Amended and Superseded Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to the allowance of certain of the Disputed Claims (the "Amended and Superseded Claims") which, according to the Debtors, have been amended and superseded by a corresponding surviving claim filed in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases. The Debtors contend that the Amended and Superseded Claims should be disallowed to prevent multiple recoveries on a single claim.

No Liability Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to certain of the Disputed Claims that assert a record of indebtedness that is inconsistent with the Debtors' books and records and is not otherwise supported by documentation. The Debtors request that such claims be expunged from the Debtors' claims register.

Equity Interests

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors allege that certain of the Disputed Claims assert equity interests that are not allowed claims, because equity interests are not "claims" as such term is defined in the Bankruptcy Code. In addition, the Debtors maintain that equity holders were notified that they were not required to file proofs of claim based exclusively on their ownership interests in Chemtura Corporation common stock.

Reclassification Claims

By the Claims Objections, the Debtors object to certain claims that were improperly classified where such claims (i) assert a priority claim and are not entitled to priority status, (ii) assert a Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9) administrative expense claim without providing the required documentation to support such status, or (iii) assert a secured claim and are not entitled to secured status. Accordingly, the Debtors request that such claims be reclassified as general unsecured claims.

A hearing on the Claims Objections has been scheduled for June 17, 2010 at 9:45 a.m. with a corresponding objection deadline of June 10, 2010 at 4:00 p.m.